

PCT/INT'L COOPERATION TREATY

FAX PENTO 10 DEC 2004

PCT

10/518156

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 800167FI	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416																									
International application No. PCT/FI 2003/000499	International filing date (day/month/year) 18.06.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.06.2002																								
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H03M 13/27																										
Applicant NOKIA CORPORATION et al																										
<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>6</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i>) a total of _____ sheets, as follows:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the International Bureau only</i>) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _____, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table> <tbody> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																								

Date of submission of the demand 16.01.2004	Date of completion of this report 22.09.2004
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	Authorized officer Markus Stålö/MP Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2003/000499

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This report is based on a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:

- international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):

the international application as originally filed/furnished

the description:

pages _____ as originally filed/furnished
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

the claims:

pages _____ as originally filed/furnished
 pages* _____ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

the drawings:

pages _____ as originally filed/furnished
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- the description, pages _____
 the claims, Nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (specify): _____
 any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): _____

4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

- the description, pages _____
 the claims, Nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (specify): _____
 any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2003/000499

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	4-7	YES
	Claims	1-3 8-10	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	6	YES
	Claims	1-5 7-10	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims		YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Prior-art

In the International Search Report the following documents are cited:

- D1:Lei Sai-Weng et al., "Performance analysis of adaptive interleaving of OFDM systems", May 2002
 D2: US2002044612 A1
 D3: EP0980162 A1
 D4: WO9907076 A2
 D5: Daniel J. Costello et al, "New Classes of Algebraic Interleavers for Turbo-Codes"

Document D1 describes, among other things, a normal interleaving operation, where a row vector of information bits is permuted according to an interleaving matrix (see page 436 Chapter III column 1, approx. line 29- column 2, approx. line 23).

A normal interleaving/de-interleaving operation could also have been shown from documents D2-D4.

The document D5 discloses a new class of algebraic interleavers for Turbo Codes, wherein an index mapping function can be expressed as an ordered set called the permutation vector and wherein the permutation vector is shifted. Note, the applicant has not had a chance to comment on this document.

.../...

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

Statement of reasonThe single general concept

The single general concept is to solve the problem relating to a new physical layer (Flexible Layer One) for the GSM/EDGE Radio Access Network. The newly proposed layer enables transmission of 58 bits compared to the previous 57 and a new block size of 464 bits compared to the previous 456 bits; this creates problems for the diagonal interleaver that is built for the old block sizes. The interleaver would, with the new block sizes, in some situations overwrite the interleaved bits. The problem is solved by introducing a shift, when an overwrite situation would occur, in the old diagonal interleaving formula, see formulas 4 and 5.

In general

The independent claims are vague and unclear and lack the necessary technical features to distinguish the independent claims from the prior art, see Box VIII.

Claims 1-3 and 9

The method and apparatus according to claims 1-3 and 9 describe a process in a transmitter where information bits are assigned with an index and where the interleaving comprises computing the position of the information bits such that the values of the index of the information bits are modified according to a shift term. The sum of the index number of each information bit and the shift term forms the modified index of the information bits.

The examiner considers the method and apparatus described above, as nothing but a description of a normal interleaving process where information bits are interleaved according to an interleaving matrix.

Normal interleaving includes information bits being assigned indexes and according to an interleaving matrix the information bits are shifted, i.e. the information bits are assigned a new index according to the sum of the original index plus a shift coming from the interleaving matrix, see for example D1 page 436 first column line 29- second column line 24.

Therefore, the method and apparatus according to claims 1-3 and 9 lack novelty.

.../...

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient:

Continuation of:

Claims 4-5 and 7

The methods according to claims 4-5 and 7 are considered as constructional details. Therefore, the methods according to claims 4-5 and 7 lack an inventive step.

Claims 8 and 10

The method and apparatus according to claims 8 and 10 describe very briefly a process for de-interleaving, where it is determined if the indexes assigned to the information bits have been modified. Based on the determination, the de-interleaving of the information bits is either based on modified values of the indexes or originally assigned values of indexes.

The claims describe nothing but a normal check if interleaving has been done on the transmitter side, i.e. the indexes of the information bits are either modified or not.

Therefore, the method and apparatus according to claims 8 and 10 lack novelty.

To further stress that claims 1 and 8-10 lack novelty document D5 has been added. Document D5 discloses an interleaver with an index mapping function, i.e. a permutation vector, wherein the permutation vector is shifted, i.e. the indexes are modified, see D5 Example I.1 and Theorem I.2.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FI 2003/000499

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1 and 8-10

The independent claims 1 and 8-10 are considered vague and unclear, since the independent claims fail to specify what they mean with an "index". Therefore, the present independent claims can now be seen as a normal interleaving operation, a normal interleaving operation which includes modification of the incoming information bits.

Further, the examiner considers it necessary that the independent claims specify when the indexes are modified, since the applicant has not invented a shift in an index that is applicable in all situations. According to the description page 4 line 29-page 5 line 14, the indexes are modified when an overwrite situation would occur in the new flexible layer one in the GSM/EDGE system.